UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FI	L	E D
JAN	- 4	2018

MATTHEW IRVIN,) Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbi
Plaintiff,	
v.) Civil Action No. 17-2406 (UNA)
KOLYON LLC, et al.,)
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter comes before the court on review of plaintiff's application to proceed *in* forma pauperis and pro se civil complaint. The Court will grant the application, and dismiss the complaint.

The Court has reviewed plaintiff's complaint, keeping in mind that complaints filed by pro se litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. See Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Even pro se litigants, however, must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Jarrell v. Tisch, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the Court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The purpose of the minimum standard of Rule 8 is to give fair notice to the defendants of the claims being asserted such that they can prepare a responsive answer, prepare an adequate defense, and determine whether the doctrine of res judicata applies. Brown v. Califano, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

The allegations in the complaint are so few and so vague that the complaint fails to comply with Rule 8(a). Neither the Court nor the named defendants can be expected to prepare an adequate response. Therefore, the Court will dismiss the complaint without prejudice.

An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE: 1-3-18

United States District Judge